

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	<u>. l</u>	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/702,300		11/05/2003	Bonnie I. Wang	015114-051930US	7980	
36981	7590	05/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & N	EAVE			LUU,	AN T	
1251 AVE	NUE OF 1	THE AMERICAS				
50TH FLO	OR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105			2816		
				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Alia-diam Na	Annlinentie	•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/702,300	WANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The SEAU INO DATE of this communication and	An T. Luu	2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 No</u>	ovember 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2003</u> is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			* *				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-4, 6-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,369,624 (hereinafter "624"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Application/Control Number: 10/702,300 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

Claim 2 of "624" recites "a phase detector", a charge pump", "a voltage controlled oscillator comprising a first multiplexer a first variable impedance and a first capacitance" being configured as required by claim 1 of the instant application.

As to claims 2 and 4, claim 1 of "624" recites "a plurality of delay stages" wherein each delay stage comprises a MUX, a variable impedance and a capacitance. Therefore, claims 2 and 4 read on "624" (i.e., another or second/third delay stage).

As to claim 3, claim 6 of "624" calls for a impedance circuit comprising transistor having a gate coupled to the charge pump circuit.

As to claims 6-14, they are rejected for reciting a method derived from the apparatus recited in claim 3 and 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Wang et al (U.S. Patent 6,369,624) in view of the Dekker reference (U.S. Patent 5,783,971).

Wang discloses in claim 2 all the claimed invention except for having a level shift circuit coupled between the VCO and the charge pump circuit as required by claim 5.

Dekker discloses in figure 5 an apparatus comprising a level shift circuit 54 coupled between the VCO and the charge pump circuit 3 as required by claim.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Dekker into that of Wang for extending the range of the VCO of Wang's teaching.

A skilled artisan in the art would have been motivated to combined the above teaching for the benefit of having a shorter setting time of the phase locked loop (i.e., easily attaining a desired tuning voltage).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

An T. Luu

4 20 04 1

TMOTHY P. CALLAHAN
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800